



**eco-innovation**  
WHEN BUSINESS MEETS THE ENVIRONMENT

**CIP Eco-innovation  
Pilot and market replication projects  
Call 2012**

**Call Identifier: CIP-EIP-Eco-Innovation-2012**

**Report on dynamic climate control I & II - MODELLING THE  
TRADE-OFF'S IN AQUAPONICS - SAVINGS ON CO<sub>2</sub> AND ENERGY -  
D3.5 and D3.6**

**Ecoponics**

**Contract ECO/12/332783/SI2.656985**

**Covering the reporting period from**

**18/07/2013 to 17/07/2016**

**Reporting Date 03/08/2016**

**Project coordinator: Dr. Ragnheidur Thorarinsdottir**

**WP3-leader: Paul Rye Kledal IGFF**

**Project website: <http://aquaponics.is/ecoponics/>**

## Introduction

Aquaponic systems are recirculating aquaculture systems that incorporate the production of plants without soil (Rakocy, J.E., 2012). When integrating horticulture with aquaculture production the benefits of various 'trade-offs' or symbiotic effects will occur. These are: a) metabolic bi-products from the fish production can be utilized by the horticultural plants to grow and hence clean the water as well as save cost on plant fertilizer; b) fish produce CO<sub>2</sub>, which the plants can utilize hence reduce cost on purchasing CO<sub>2</sub> to boost plant production; c) the fish tanks, when placed in the greenhouse for horticultural produce, can act as heating buffers during night time and potentially save cost on energy.

Likewise, EU has set out a roadmap for moving to a low carbon economy in 2050, with clear goals on reducing CO<sub>2</sub> in sectors of transportation, buildings and in the primary food production. One could therefore foresee that future production systems in food and agriculture will have to document its CO<sub>2</sub> emissions before any production permissions are issued. Food systems like aquaponics, where aquaculture can transform 'waste' to a resource and take advantage of various symbiotic effects in the horticulture production would therefore be very beneficial on the road towards a low carbon economy.

To embark on measuring these symbiotic effects and production trade-offs in aquaponics the Danish innovation center for horticulture 'AgroTech' had been employed by IGFF to provide with the necessary knowledge inputs once IGFF's 70 m<sup>2</sup> aquaponic test-plant was in a steady-state production.

AgroTech has a long-term experience on measuring heating cost and providing advisory in horticulture on energy savings. Based on these experiences, AgroTech had already developed an IT model to quantify energy reductions in relation to various types of investments in greenhouses. The IT module was together with IGFF expanded with an appendix: adding fish tanks into a greenhouse. The IT module could then be applied to analyze and model the trade-offs of CO<sub>2</sub> contribution from the fish, and the potential passive heat contribution from the fish tanks and quantify their physical environmental impact and hence economic savings on the variable costs.

## 1. Materials and methods

Year round simulation were performed with the core of The Virtual Greenhouse™ of AgroTech ([www.dvv.infogrow.dk](http://www.dvv.infogrow.dk)) (Körner and Hanssen, 2012) that consists of a compilation of physical and biological greenhouse simulation models. In connection to this an aquaponic system model was created consisting of a 1000 m<sup>2</sup> Venlo-type greenhouse and growing area (4 m gutter heights, 2x25x20m area) volume of 65 m<sup>3</sup> water capacity separated in 6 circular tanks of each 10 m<sup>3</sup> plus system components as pipes etc. of 5 m<sup>3</sup> water. The rectangular fish tanks were placed under the mobile plant tables.

The aquaponic system (AkvaGroup, Denmark) was dimensioned to produce nine MT tilapia fish yearly. For that a peak system biomass of 3000 kg fish was calculated. The fish feed was given to the optimum with a peak feed capacity of 40 kg day<sup>-1</sup> and a peak water exchange of 0.58 m<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and minimum intake capacity of fresh water was calculated from transpiration and evaporation losses. Additionally, the needed water supply for keeping the desired water temperature at minimum of 28°C and a maximum of 32°C was calculated from fresh water supply at pre-heated water. Energy supply to the water system was calculated by heat production through the fish calculated from an average oxygen consumption rate of 0.54 kg kg<sup>-1</sup> feed with 13608 J g<sup>-1</sup> feed. A rate of 51% of oxygen waste was assumed. Additional heat production was calculated by biological break-down of feces (1.3 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup> feed) and feed. Feed composition was 38% protein, 10% fat and 20% carbohydrates. The rates of energy conversion was 23.64 J g<sup>-1</sup>, 39.54 J g<sup>-1</sup> and 17.15 J g<sup>-1</sup> for protein, fat and carbohydrates, respectively.

The greenhouse was a standard greenhouse type with lettuce cultivation. The greenhouse was equipped with an energy screen (LS16, Ludvig Svensson, Sweden) and a shading screen (ILS60 Revolux, Ludvig Svensson, Sweden) under the roof and equipped with standard heating pipe system and passive roof vents. Climate control was done according to common practice with installed supplementary lighting of 80 Wm<sup>-2</sup> (HPSL 400 W, Philips, The Netherlands) and sufficient dosage capacity of pure CO<sub>2</sub>. However, temperature set-points for lettuce cultivation were set to 18 and 22°C year round. No distinguish between seasons, day and night and cultivation stage was done for model simplification. CO<sub>2</sub> was dosed to a maximum of 1000 ppm at daytime, but supply stopped when vents were opened with more than 10%.

For simulations, the Danish reference climate year (Lund, 1995) with hourly data was used as input. Simulations were done with a 5-min time step over a complete year. Two cases were simulated: 1) The greenhouse without aquaponic system using regular lettuce cultivation on benches (same

climate set-points as with aquaponics) and 2) the greenhouse with the combined 6-tank aquaponic system installed under the benches.

## **2. Results**

The total energy consumption for greenhouse heating was less with the installed aquaponic system, a higher yield was achieved and additional CO<sub>2</sub> supply for the greenhouse was strongly reduced. In addition, CO<sub>2</sub> supply for elevated CO<sub>2</sub> level could be reduced strongly by the supply from aquaculture. A regular dosage of 2.89 kg m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> was needed, while this could be reduced to 0.35 kg m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (Fig 1). In addition, due to the higher CO<sub>2</sub> level in the case with aquaponics (since active CO<sub>2</sub> supply stopped with vent opening at >10%), a fresh yield increase from 43 to 49 kg m<sup>-2</sup> was achieved, i.e. a 14% yield increase. Assuming an average lettuce target head weight of 250 g, 24 more heads per m<sup>2</sup> greenhouse were produced each year (i.e. 24000 more heads in total for the 1000 m<sup>2</sup> greenhouse). However, lettuce development time was not taken into account here, and a higher individual head yield would be another possibility.

The comparing simulations show that heating for greenhouse could be reduced from 1.0798 GJ m<sup>-2</sup> by 21% or 0.22 GJ m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> to 0.8569 GJ m<sup>-2</sup>. In total, the aquaponic system could save 223 GJ heat energy. Both cases used electricity for lamp-light consumption of 0.637 GJ m<sup>-2</sup>.

## **3. Discussion and conclusion**

Based on the simulations for the Danish reference climate year, the trade-offs from aquaponics on CO<sub>2</sub> and energy were quite substantial. Economically the variable cost savings amounted to app. 6.500 Euro per year for the 1.000 m<sup>2</sup> aquaponic production. However, these fairly high variable costs would also have to be compared with similarly high fixed investment cost for the aquaponic plant and the included CO<sub>2</sub> dosage system. The modeling indicates though that an aquaponic production of this size will be better off relying on the CO<sub>2</sub> from the fish alone. Further scenarios are in the making and the model will be validated via tests in an aquaponic demo-plant. The model developed is planned to be an important tool in the future delivering input to contribution accounts in business plans promoting aquaponics.

## References

Körner, O., Erik Gutzman and P.R. Kledal (2014): Modelling the Symbiotic Effects in Aquaponics, **Conference paper** for the **European Aquaculture Society Adding Value**, Donastia San Sebastian, Spain October 14-17, 2014

Körner, O., and Hanssen, J.B. 2012. An on-line tool for optimising greenhouse crop production. *Acta Horticulturae* 957, 147-154.

Lund, H. 1995. The design reference year user manual, a report of Task 9: solar radiation and pyranometer studies. Solar Materials Research and Development, International Energy Agency Solar Heating and Cooling Programme, Thermal Insulation Laboratory, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark.

Rakocy, J.E. (2012): Aquaponics – Integrating Fish and Plant Culture, in *Aquaculture Production Systems* (ed.) James H. Tidwell, Wiley-Blackwell, USA

*This publication has been produced with the assistance of the European Union. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of Svinna, Breen, IGGF and HI and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union.*



Co-funded by the Eco-innovation  
Initiative of the European Union